UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,456	01/03/2006	William S Oakley	41793-8003.US01	8042
²²⁹¹⁸ PERKINS COI	7590 10/16/200 E LLP	8	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1208	ORTIZ CRIADO, JORGE L			OO, JORGE L
SEATTLE, WA	A 98111-1208		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	cation No. Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/563,456	OAKLEY, WILLIAM S	AM S			
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JORGE L. ORTIZ CRIADO	2627				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>JORGE L. ORTIZ CRIADO</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Glen E. Von Tersch</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>09 October 2008</u> .						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	r)⊠ applicant's representative	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-8</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Art of record.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The claims were discussed in view of the prior art of record. The examiner discussed other references found by the examiner that are pertinent to the Application. No agreement was reached with respect of the claims during the discussion. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview equirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Jorge L Ortiz-Criado/						